

**To:** Kady, Thomas[Kady.Thomas@epa.gov]  
**From:** Guy, Kerry  
**Sent:** Mon 8/17/2015 6:08:34 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Tom---I added something in the intro paragraph and in the questions (3rd bullet)

Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 17, 2015, at 11:55 AM, Kady, Thomas <[Kady.Thomas@epa.gov](mailto:Kady.Thomas@epa.gov)> wrote:

Kerry - that additional wording is fine.

My comments on the document:

500 - 1200 gpm is a large range; however, it does provide the opportunity for redundancy/contingency if you pipe the system to run both in series and in parallel. I would expect the vendors to come up with some good plans in this regard.

Might want ask for their specific plans for winterization.

Instead of just time to mobilize , consider asking for timeframes to mobilize, setup, and shakedown the system (each of the three). Also ask for personnel who will be on site during this critical phase.

Ask about scalability ( up and down) of the system and how this will affect costs (up and down).

Tom

Tom Kady  
ERT Edison  
732-735-5822

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 17, 2015, at 1:22 PM, Guy, Kerry <[Guy.Kerry@epa.gov](mailto:Guy.Kerry@epa.gov)> wrote:

Kerry Guy

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8

On-Scene Coordinator

Emergency Response Unit

303-312-7288

303-808-3831

<GOLD KING MINE SITE.ver2.docx>